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Abstract

QUANTITATIVE ESTIMATE OF MASS SEDIMENT MOVEMENT WITH SONAR IN DEER CREEK RESERVOIR

Ashley Nichole Childers
Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering
Master of Science

Sediment movement within a reservoir affects various reservoir processes and can influence water quality. Quantifying this movement is a key element when evaluating the life and quality of a reservoir. Sediment movement may be determined and quantified by many methods. This study explores the ability to quantify and monitor sediment mass movement in Deer Creek Reservoir (DCR) using SONAR. Sonar uses sound waves to detect distance to and from specified objects. To monitor DCR I used sonar to measure bottom elevations and GPS to accurately locate each measurement. I selected seven cross sections at the inflow of DCR and surveyed them with SONAR multiple times over 63 days. Each time I surveyed a line, I covered the line twice – once in each directions. From the surveys I was able to document changes in the sediment surface showing both deposition and erosion. I found that the sediment moved along the channels during the survey period. Each cross section gave new information and trends relating to sediment movement and how these changes were related to the drawdown of the water. The cross sections showed both deposition and erosion depending on the distance from the reservoir head, which changed over the survey period.
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1. Introduction

The level of nutrients and pollutants in a reservoir has a profound effect on water quality (Linnik and Zubenko 2000; Sakai, Murase et al. 2002). Deposited sediments have been identified as a potential internal nutrient source and can provide nutrients to the reservoir water column even when external sources are minimized. Studies were conducted in reservoirs which reduced external inputs of nutrients, and in some areas this reduction had limited effect on eutrophication (Gran´eli 1988; Mayer 1999). Excessive amounts of nutrients may increase productivity in ecosystems, leading to problems with water quality (Casbeer 2009). In addition to nutrients, the bottom sediments of water bodies can also accumulate pollutants. The remobilization of these substances are important mechanisms that control pollutant concentrations in aquatic environments (Linnik and Zubenko 2000). Understanding and quantifying sediment processes in reservoirs will allow researchers to adequately maintain a sustainable source of water (Casbeer 2009). One of the main processes is sediment movement, or resuspension.

Resuspension is a mechanical process that allows deposited sediments to become suspended within the water column. Some of the well-recognized internal and external sources for resuspension include wind, wave action, climate change, cold currents entering the reservoir from rivers or groundwater, and generation or regeneration in the water body. Disturbances by animals such as bottom-feeding fish (Lamarra 1975) and chironomid larvae (Gallepp 1979) may also trigger resuspension. The suspended sediment have a profound effect on aquatic ecosystems (Newcombe and MacDonald 1991). Resuspension can introduce trapped nutrients into the water
column, promoting the growth of algae and other aquatic organisms, adversely affecting water quality (Anderson, Dracup et al. 1976; Gallepp 1979; Casbeer 2009).

There have been many studies on sediment movement and the effect it has on water quality (Anderson, Dracup et al. 1976; Tarela and Menendez 1999; Linnik and Zubenko 2000; Casbeer 2009). These studies document various methods to monitor and quantify sediment movement. One method is to model, or conceptualize the sediment using a sediment budget. A sediment budget is a quantitative inventory of all the sediment inputs, outputs and storage within a defined system. The volume entering and exiting a particular section of a water body is monitored by determining and evaluating the sediment fluxes; the sources and sinks from different processes that give rise to additions and subtractions within a control volume.

Another approach uses the numerical solution with the finite element method to predict reservoir sedimentation based on parabolized and laterally integrated forms of the governing equations (Tarela and Menendez 1999). This approach is used in many computer models and calculations to predict sediment movement. This not only allows the calculation of the sedimentation rate, but also allows the prediction of the time evolution of bottom deposit structures, which is fundamental when analyzing the fate of pollutants (Tarela and Menendez 1999). Mass sediment movement monitoring is another method used to develop models of sediment mobilization, transport, and deposition. The use of Digital Elevation Models (DEM’s) recorded by a laser scanner and the use of rare earth element oxides as tracers have both been proven as methods to trace mass sediment movement as well (Polyakov and Nearing 2004). DEM’s are used for measuring the movement outside of water bodies, while the use of rare earth element oxides can trace sediment movement both in and out of the water.
The goal of this project is to use sound navigation and ranging (sonar) to determine the mass sediment movement in Deer Creek reservoir. This approach is similar to developing DEM’s with a laser scanner (Polyakov and Nearing 2004) but incorporated under water. Sonar has generally been used as a tool for marine geology, but in recent years, mainly through the interest in sedimentological problems of lakes and reservoirs, the use of sonar technology has been explored in bodies of fresh water (Robert W. Duck 1987). Sonar uses sound reflection to detect objects on the bottom surface (HYPACK). The basic principles on which sonar relies is that the sound moves at a steady rate through a given medium, water in this case, and reflects off objects in its path. In practice sound velocity is changed by the temperature profile, which can be taken into account. By recording these echoes and measuring the time required for the echo to travel from the sound source to the receiving point, calculations can determine the distance to an object with reasonable accuracy. In some cases, sonar can be used to distinguish different sediment depositional environments; characterizing soft sediment layers from hard packed sediments (HYPACK; Robert W. Duck 1987). This is useful for reservoir studies, since soft sediments are more prone to resuspension. This study of DCR, however, did not try to differentiate between the hard and soft sediments.

Sonar studies have been undertaken previously in fresh water reservoirs, but not for the use of monitoring sediment movement. The most common use is for bathymetry profiles. Sonar has been used to detect mines on the ocean floor (Blondel 2000), monitor fish in shallow channels (Pedersen and Trevorrow 1999), create bathymetry profiles, and aid in dredging projects. A group at the University of Illinois conducted a study using a multibeam echo sounding to examine both the form and flow above a series of sand dunes in the Mississippi and
Missouri rivers (Best, Kostaschuk et al. 2001). We intend to show that sonar can be used to monitor and quantify short term, on the order of months, sediment mass movement in reservoirs.
2. Study Area

Deer Creek Reservoir (DCR), Figure 1, is located 18.5 miles above Utah Lake, on the Provo River below Heber Valley. DCR’s main inflow comes from the Provo River, with other inflows from Snake Creek, Main Creek and Daniels Creek (PSOMAS 2002). The watershed that drains into the reservoir is 171,663 acres. The surface area of the reservoir is 2,680 acres at full capacity with an annual inflow of 260,000 acre-ft from the Provo River. The reservoir is 6 miles long and an average of 1 mile wide. It has an average storage capacity of 152,000 acre-ft and is used to provide municipal and industrial power, and irrigation flows to more than 48,000 acres of farmland (Anderson, Dracup et al. 1976).

The reservoir is the main water supply to a large sector of the populated areas in Utah and Salt Lake Counties, serving an approximate population of 485,000 (BOR 2009). It is used for culinary water, providing 73,500 ac-ft of water annually for the water districts of Salt Lake City, American Fork, Lehi, Lindon, Pleasant Grove, Orem, and Provo (BOR 2009; Casbeer 2009). This reservoir stores Provo River floodwater as well as surplus Weber River water diverted...
through the Weber-Provo Canal and water from the Duchesne River. The reservoir releases water for the Metropolitan Water District of Salt Lake City that flows 42 miles through an aqueduct to Salt Lake City (Anderson, Dracup et al. 1976). The state beneficial use classifications for the reservoir include: culinary water, recreational bathing (swimming), boating and similar recreation (excluding swimming), cold water game fish and organisms in their food chain, and agricultural uses (BOR 2009).

In recent years DCR has exhibited water quality problems including algae blooms and various taste and order issues at water treatment plants receiving DCR water. Eutrophication in the reservoir has caused a deterioration of water quality through increased algal growth. This excess growth is detrimental to our sustainable water supply and impairs the beneficial uses of the reservoir. As phosphorus (P) is the limiting nutrient for plant and algal growth, the key to this problem is to limit the amount of P entering the system. In some reservoirs where the input P has been reduced, eutrophication has been steady, potentially
due to availability of nutrients from deposited sediments. This is referred to as nutrient recycling, where nutrients previously trapped within the sediment become available through resuspension.

DCR has greatly improved water quality after reduction of external P loading; however, there are still large algal blooms at times as well as other water quality issues without clear attributable causes. One hypothesis is that sediment movement and resuspension plays a role in these problems, providing nutrients to the water column from the sediments. To help understand this problem I developed a method to monitor and quantify sediment movement in DCR with the use of sonar. The first step to quantifying the amount of nutrients released to the water column is to quantify sediment movements and resuspension.
3. Methods

3.1 Sonar Equipment

The sonar equipment I used for this research was a Single Beam Echotrac CVM Sounder. I also used the Hypack 2009 software along with Odem eChart to perform data reduction and analysis. Both programs are used for data collection and processing. Hypack 2009 allows you to devise a survey plan and log points, while the eChart displays real-time high and low frequency waves while you survey. Instructions on how to connect the sonar in the boat and begin a survey using these programs is located in the appendix.

The basic conceptual survey model for this research used the sonar at selected locations to acquire data and then process it using HYPACK 2009 software. To determine if sonar could be used to monitor and quantify sediment movements I selected cross sections along the inflow of DCR and repeatedly surveyed those sections. The reservoir inflow is the part of the reservoir...

Figure 3: Channel cross section using Odem eChart
where sediments are most likely resuspended and moved. The raw data measured during each survey includes x and y coordinates obtained from the GPS, and depth measurements from the sonar.

The resolution of the sonar is 0.1 ft with accuracy of 0.01 ft +/- 0.1% of the depth (HYPACK). Using this information an elevation profile of the reservoir bottom along each line was measured and plotted. Studying the plots and comparing each line with other lines at the same cross-section over time should give a graphical representation of the movement of the bottom sediment. The research was performed to determine if this model could provide the required information. This depends on the precision and accuracy of the sonar and GPS measurements, the ability of the survey boat to return and measure the same cross section, and the ability to accurately analyze the resulting data.
3.2 Set up

To create a plan for DCR, I used the sonar system to survey sections across the entire reservoir near the inflow to locate any channels. This was done by using the Odem eChart software to monitor the bottom profile during the survey. An example of the Odem eChart presentation is shown in Figure 3, which show the channel locations. Once I located a channel during the survey, I used the HYPACK 2009 software to mark these locations (see appendix). I created a map of these markers and analyzed it to determine the course of the subsurface channels. Using this map I could see roughly where the canals were located and the route they traced along the lake bottom. Using the channel locations I developed from this map, I was able to choose survey cross sections that would allow me to monitor the main channels for sediment movement. The final cross sections were chosen in locations that would be easy to find and repeat for each survey. We determined that even with GPS guidance during a survey, it was beneficial to have visible landmarks on the shore to help navigation. A planned line profile was created with all the survey cross sections (see appendix); this allowed me to return to the same profile lines each time I surveyed. The profile lines I used for this study are shown in Figure 4. The starting points are represented with blue triangles and black numbers while each survey line with its associated number is shown in blue.
Figure 5 is a plot of the profile lines that shows their relative distances and relationships. The top of the figure is near the inflow of DCR, while the starting point for each profile line is near the eastern shore of DCR. Profile lines 1 through 4 began at starting point 1, profile lines 5 and 6 began from starting point 2, and profile line 7 began from starting point 3. Starting points 1 and 2 are about 415 ft apart, while starting points 2 and 3 are about 105 ft apart. Table 1 lists the lengths of each profile line.

### 3.3 Study Plan

I collected data for this study along seven profile cross sections near the main inflow of DCR shown in Figure 4. Approximately every two weeks I used the sonar to re-survey the profile lines and gather a new dataset. The field data collection consisted of seven survey lines with three starting points as indicated in Figure 4.

These starting points were easy locations to identify and access with the boat each time we went out, which aided the GPS positioning. In addition, each survey line had a visual reference to help navigation along the line during data collection. An explanation of the starting points and landmarks used for each line is contained in the appendix.

The surveys began by positioning the boat on a starting point and then following our planned line profile. Once positioned, I would start logging points and continue as the boat moved along the survey line. I would stop logging once we reached the end of the line and turn the boat around. I would re-start logging once the boat was positioned at the end of the line, and the line would then be surveyed going back towards the starting point. This allowed me to collect

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned Line Number</th>
<th>Distance (ft)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1986</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1430</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2308</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2288</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>2344</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>2362</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
duplicate data so I could have more accurate readings. The recordings were saved using HYPACK and organized by survey date and survey line.
4. Processing

I used HYPACK to edit the stored data for each line to prepare the data to be exported. Tide and sound velocity profile corrections were not necessary for this research because those parameters do not have significant changes within DCR. These correction factors would be appropriate for surveying in locations such as the ocean or a deep reservoir. I chose to presort all the data on the basis of the number of samples with an increment of one, which exported all the measured data points. HYPACK also had options to perform various averaging or filtering on the data before it was exported. These were not used, since I wanted to analyze all the data. The data were exported as ascii text in .xyz files and analyzed with a series of Excel worksheets.

The survey data were imported into an Excel file where the x, y and z coordinates could be viewed. To plot the data, the distance along each line for each measured point was calculated, starting from the line starting point. This was done by calculating the distance between the x and y coordinates of the measured point and the x and y coordinates of the line starting point. Once the distance was calculated, the depth versus distance was plotted for each planned survey line. The data showed some measurement noise, so the depth value was smoothed using a moving window average with a width of ten. This was done by taking an average of the ten nearest depths for each point, five on either side. This smoothed the data but retained most of the original measurement locations, with the exception of the first and last five locations on each line. These were a distance from the monitored channels and did not affect the results. The data smoothing relieved some of the noise along each line within the plots. The new computed depth, or z value, was used as the elevation for all analysis of that data set. These data were used to
create plots with distance along the line, measured from the line start point, on the abscissa, and bottom elevation as the ordinate.

In addition to smoothing the data, elevations values were interpolated to standard distances, every 0.25 feet, so the lines could be compared accurately. Having points at the same locations on each data set allowed lines surveyed on the same day to be averaged together, lines surveyed on difference days to be subtracted from each other showing change, and also allow other quantitative comparison techniques to be used. As noted above, the data before interpolation were plotted and visually analyzed. In addition, the interpolated values were also plotted and analyzed using quantitative methods to identify changes that occurred in the channels between the survey times. Detailed instructions on processing are located in the appendix.
5. Objectives

The objective of my work is to determine if sediment movement can be monitored and quantified using sonar. I made that determination by studying the data and the various plots made using the data and making conclusions based on the patterns and results I inferred from these plots and data. In addition to the main objective of my work, the long term objective of the larger study is to monitor and quantify sediment movement within DCR, while my study is designed to determine if sonar is an appropriate technology to perform this monitoring. A short term goal, both for my work and for the larger DCR study project, is to learn how to use the sonar equipment and process the data. The results of my work will be first to determine if this approach is adequate for measuring sediment movement, and second to develop methods for performing this monitoring long-term as the study continues. A series of six survey trips, described in Table 2, were made to collect data in order to accomplish these goals. Table 2 lists the six data collection trips, the date the trip was made, the lines surveyed, and DCR water elevation. Elevation is an important variable since as the reservoir level lowers, more flow occurs in the channels, and in this case, the area of the upper survey lines became so shallow that surveys could not be accomplished.

The number of lines surveyed for each trip varies. Trip 1 was taken to locate the channels and provide data to create the planned lines for the project. During trips 2 and 3 all seven lines were surveyed two times, once heading down the line, and then coming back up the line. During trip 4 only two lines were surveyed, on this trip each line was surveyed four times to
determine how repeatable the measurements were and to get more accurate results. Lines 1-3 were not accessible during trips 5 and 6 due to drawdown of the reservoir.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trip number</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Lines Surveyed</th>
<th>Elevation of Water Surface (ft)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>06/30/09</td>
<td>Preliminary</td>
<td>5417.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>07/02/09</td>
<td>1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7</td>
<td>5417.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>07/16/09</td>
<td>1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7</td>
<td>5414.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>07/23/09</td>
<td>4, 6,</td>
<td>5412.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>08/11/09</td>
<td>4, 5, 6, 7</td>
<td>5409.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>09/03/09</td>
<td>4, 5, 6, 7</td>
<td>5407.50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
6. Results

When I analyzed the collected data, I noticed a fluctuation of sediment within the channels over time. The survey lines showed both deposition and erosion at various locations on various lines between the survey dates. In some cases, the changes did not appear to be from physical processes, but were either the results of data collection errors or other issues relating to the analysis. Trends within the channels for each survey line are described in the following sections. I offer an explanation of these trends that attempts to correlate the presented
data with physical processes; however, these explanations may not accurately represent what is actually happening. While enough data were collected to determine if this approach is feasible, there were not sufficient data collected to adequately characterize all the various processes occurring in the channels.

Lines 4 through 7 show channels with sharp slopes (see Figures 11-16) that appear to be too steep. However, Figure 6 is an image of the upper end of DCR taken last year when the water level was very low. This image shows sharp slopes that match the data was taken with the sonar during my survey. Figure 7 displays a more rounded channel from the same time period, similar to some of the channels that appear in Lines 1 – 4 (see Figures 8-11).

For most of the plots I noticed that as the distance along the survey line increases, the data does not line up as well as it does for the beginning of the line. This appears in areas that I did not expect any changes, which indicates that it is a problem with the measurements, rather than actual changes in the reservoir. These data anomalies may be caused by the x and y coordinates being converted into a distance. For each line, the starting coordinates were set as initial points and every point taken after that is relative to those initial points. The farther a point is from the initial location, the more potential error it can have. One potential way to mitigate this would be rotate the coordinate system for each line so one of the ordinal directions matched the direction of the line. Then the data would show distance from the starting point and also the perpendicular distance of the point from the line.
The data gathered for July 2 and July 16 are very similar and show little change. I concluded that there is no change in the sediment between these two days at Line 1. It is interesting that at point A there was no channel measured during the July 2 survey, this is most likely caused by an error in the data and potentially caused by setting up the sonar incorrectly. At point B the elevation for both lines is the same during the two surveys, which means that the data lines up very well and indicates there is no sedimentation. Only two surveys were conducted for this line, as well as lines 2 and 3. Due to drawdown of the Reservoir these lines could not be accessed with the boat or the instruments needed for data collection. Because this line could not be surveyed again, I could not determine the cause of the anomaly at point A.

Figure 8: Line 1 profile.

6.1 Line 1 Data and Discussion

The data gathered for July 2 and July 16 are very similar and show little change. I concluded that there is no change in the sediment between these two days at Line 1. It is interesting that at point A there was no channel measured during the July 2 survey, this is most likely caused by an error in the data and potentially caused by setting up the sonar incorrectly. At point B the elevation for both lines is the same during the two surveys, which means that the data lines up very well and indicates there is no sedimentation. Only two surveys were conducted for this line, as well as lines 2 and 3. Due to drawdown of the Reservoir these lines could not be accessed with the boat or the instruments needed for data collection. Because this line could not be surveyed again, I could not determine the cause of the anomaly at point A.
I concluded that there is no sediment change for channels along survey line 2 between July 2 and 16. I assumed that the elevation is incorrect and that the differences in the bottom of the channels are relatively consistent. Point A shows the location where the errors from the distance formula may have come into play, which also causes errors in elevation. This difference could also be from deposition over the entire cross section, but that is unlikely. I determined that there are not enough data to draw any conclusions of sediment movement within Line 2.

Figure 9: Line 2 profile.

6.2 Line 2 Data and Discussion

I concluded that there is no sediment change for channels along survey line 2 between July 2 and 16. I assumed that the elevation is incorrect and that the differences in the bottom of the channels are relatively consistent. Point A shows the location where the errors from the distance formula may have come into play, which also causes errors in elevation. This difference could also be from deposition over the entire cross section, but that is unlikely. I determined that there are not enough data to draw any conclusions of sediment movement within Line 2.
Figure 10: Line 3 profile.

6.3 Line 3 Data and Discussion

I concluded that there is no sediment movement for Line 3 between July 2 and 16, though because of apparent problems in the data, small changes would not be evident. At first glance, it seems that erosion occurred, however, the two surveys do not line up at any location. This may be due to errors while collecting the raw data using the sonar, GPS miscalculations, or errors in the elevation. The change in elevation between the two lines is about even throughout the distance of Line 3, which implies that an error occurred, or that erosion took place along the whole channel, which again, is unlikely. From the data based on these two dates, I concluded that an error in the data has occurred and there is no sediment movement. As noted above, small changes may have occurred, but because of the error I cannot make that determination.
6.4 Line 4 Data and Discussion

I surveyed this line four different times from early July through mid-August. During that period the reservoir surface elevation dropped 7.47 ft from the first survey to the last. The surface water depth dropped from 12 ft to 4.5 ft at point A. This is significant as water inflow to the lake will become more concentrated in the channel as there is less water over the normal bottom. Over the survey period, Channel 1 seems to have variation in movement, while channels 2 and 3 are more consistent. Channel 1 is much shallower than channels 2 and 3, and for this reason the draw down may explain the variation. This is explained by velocity in shallow water being higher than that of deeper water. Figure 12 shows section 1 in more detail. Also note that Channel 1 seems to be moving toward the steeper slope. This may indicated channel erosion similar to that shown in Figure 6, where the current cuts one bank, moving the channel in that direction. If the channel is moving due to bank erosion, the other bank usually sloths off filling that channel on the opposite side. While it is difficult to determine if this is taking place, the plot does show the other side of the channel with a flatter slope.

Figure 11: Line 4
Figure 12, which is a detailed plot of Channel 1 from Figure 11, shows a large difference between the first three surveys and the one taken on August 11. Channel 1 has eroded both in depth and width. As noted above, it has also apparently moved to the right of the figure. These changes can be explained by drawdown. Before the water drew down, the velocity of the water on the bottom of the reservoir and in the channels was relatively low. This is because when the inflow would enter the reservoir it would usually be warmer than the deeper water, so it would flow on the surface of the reservoir, leaving the velocity lower at deeper depths and higher near the surface. There is also a lot of grass and bushes on the bottom of the reservoir, slowing down any currents. Once the water dropped to only 4 ft, the velocity in the shallow channels had to increase because there was no other place for it to flow. This increase in velocity could explain the erosion in the first channel.

Channels 2 and 3 along Line 4 are deeper, so the sediment in the bottom did not change a large amount. It was noticed however, that there was a small amount of fill in the bottom of channels 2 and 3. This may be due from sediment moving along the channels from shallower sections. In addition, the two main channels appear to be different and undergo different types of erosion, this was also apparent when the sediment delta was exposed as shown in Figure 6 and 7. Figure 6 shows a channel with bank erosion that is moving due to erosion. Figure 7 shows a
channel with sediment bed transport. The survey lines show that Channel 1 appears to be similar to Figure 6 and Channels 2 and 3 are more similar to Figure 7.

6.5 Line 5 Data and Discussion

The water surface depth at point A dropped from 15 ft to 5 ft over the first and last survey days for this line. Section 1 is shown in Figure 13. Channels 2 and 3 seemed to get a little fill, but not much. These two channels have uniform walls, whereas channel 1 has sloughed edges on the banks as time increases. This can also be explained by the drawdown of the water which increases the velocity in

Figure 13: Line 5 profile.

Figure 14: Section 1 of Line 4
the shallower channels as described above in section 6.4. I conclude that there is sediment movement in channel 1 within cross section 5, due to drawdown of the reservoir.

### 6.6 Line 6 Data and Discussion

The trend for Survey Line 6 is very consistent until the last survey on September 3. Channel 1 is consistent over the whole period of time with little change. Channel 2 exhibits some filling by sediment for the last survey. This survey line is further off the shore than the previous lines; therefore it is a bit deeper. This greater depth will cause less variation in the sediment movements. The roughness of the lines in Channel 2 may be caused from the distance formula discussed previously. The deposited sediment in Channel 2 may be from sediment movement caused previously in the shallower part of this channel upstream. The erosion that was described in previous lines could cause deposition at this location.
From July 2 to August 11 in Line 7 there seems to be early erosion. The early erosion is hypothesized to be from soft fluff being pushed out of the channel bottoms due to currents. Since these channels were deep and farthest from the inflow, the velocity was very low, however, the fluff is moved very easily with low flows. By September 3 both channels have filled between 2 and 2.5 ft. This filling may have been caused from the movement of sediment in shallower parts of these channels being washed down from the higher velocities due to drawdown.

Figure 16: Line 7 profile.

6.7 Line 7 Data and Discussion

From July 2 to August 11 in Line 7 there seems to be early erosion. The early erosion is hypothesized to be from soft fluff being pushed out of the channel bottoms due to currents. Since these channels were deep and farthest from the inflow, the velocity was very low, however, the fluff is moved very easily with low flows. By September 3 both channels have filled between 2 and 2.5 ft. This filling may have been caused from the movement of sediment in shallower parts of these channels being washed down from the higher velocities due to drawdown.
Figure 17: eChart soundings

6.8 Sonar Images – Example

Figure 17 displays eChart images from channels within the same area as Line 7 throughout the survey. Notice the colors change over time; this is due to the hardness of the bottom sediment. Although this has not been studied, it appears that the green blue and yellow represent lighter sediment, while the mixture of colors represent harder surfaces. This is an example of what future studies can produce and analyze more in depth to get a better understanding of what is actually occurring on the reservoir bottom. The difference between hard and soft sediment is important since it is the soft sediment that is usually suspended in the water column.
7. Conclusions

I concluded that sediment movement in DCR can be monitored and quantified using sonar measurements and monitoring. From the surveys I was able to document changes in the sediment surface showing both deposition and erosion that followed expected physical patterns. I found that the sediment moved in the channels during the survey period and was adequately seen with sonar detection. Both the short and long term goals for this project were accomplished. I was able to learn how to successfully use the sonar to gather data and use multiple programs to process the data. Using this data, I found that the sonar was a useful tool to use to quantify sediment mass movement. Although this project did not develop a sediment budget, these methods can be followed for future studies for its development.

In future studies, cross-sections closer together are suggested to get a better idea of how each channel is connected to the next. Also each cross section should be surveyed two times every time; once up the line, and then back down. I found that it is better to have too much data than not enough. This will also allow you to obtain data from each accessible line every time, which will provide more data to better estimate sediment movement along each channel. For more accuracy, GPS differential corrections should be considered along with a different approach to calculate the distance, such as the polar method. Also, features in HYPACK for processing and displaying data should be analyzed in more detail. The program offers many useful tools which were not used for this project. Those tools may reduce the need of some of the spreadsheets used in this research. I also suggest that if the Excel spreadsheets are used for future studies, that they be animated and linked together to save time.
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A1 Appendix A  Survey Locations and Lines

A1.1 Mark Location
- As you are surveying you can mark a location button to create a point on the survey window. You can right click to edit the location where you can add information relating to the point. These points are layer that you can turn on and off. You can also delete the points by right clicking on them and choosing delete.

A1.2 Creating Planned Lines
- Planned survey lines are used to define where you want your vessel to go. A planned line file contains the grid coordinates and names for each planned line in your survey area.
- For this project the planned lines were created using the cursor method.
- To do this you must first have your project open with the background file already loaded (see appendix section B1.1).
- Open the line editor by selecting the ‘preparation’, then ‘editors’, then ‘line editor’. Or just click on the editor icon.
- Click on image of the cursor and draw the first planned line by clicking on the starting point, and then clicking again on the ending point.
- Open the line editor by clicking on [line editor] on the bottom left. You can review the points of your first line, and then create a new line by selecting add line.
- You can also name the lines here if desired by selecting ‘line’, then ‘line name’ and typing in the dialog box that appears.
B1 Appendix B Equipment and Software

B1.1 Instructions for starting HYPACK 2009

- Start HYPACK 2009 from desktop, you have to make sure that the HYPACK USB key is inserted as shown in Figure 18. It is kept in the zipper part of the laptop bag. The program will not run without the USB.

- Double click on the HYPACK icon on the desktop.

- It will automatically open the last project that was worked on.

- To open a new or different project click on the 'Open' button (the one with the open folder), and select the desired project.

- To create a new Project file....
  - Click 'Open' and the Project Manager window will appear. Click on 'New Project' and enter the project name and press 'OK'. Each survey day was saved as a new project with the name of the reservoir and the date the survey took place.
To bring in the Deer Creek .tif image or any other image, go to the folders in the left side of the screen and right click on the 'Background Files' folder. Then go to 'add file' and then go to ‘TIF Files’. Browse to the Desktop and open the DeerCreek.tif file. You have to press the 'full extent' button to be able to see the image.

To open the project survey lines you go through the same process. Right click on the 'Planned Line Files' folder and go to 'add file'. Browse to the Desktop and open the SONARsections.lnw file, or any other .lnw file that has been created.
B 1.2 Connecting Sonar on boat

Connection checklist: All points must be connected in order for sonar to work.

Figures 19 – 23 show images of the computer and the cords.

- Socket card into laptop connected to comports 4, 5, 3 going down. Comp4-GPS(I/0)A. Comp5-GPS(out)B. Comp3-depth. Comp 6 should be free. Shown in Figure 23. Make sure that the socket card is put in the laptop right side up.
  If problems occur, this is a good thing to check.

- Connect the Ethernet cable to the laptop and the box where it says 'LAN'

- Power cord into socket connected to the box where it says 'POWER'

- SONAR cable from sonar to box where it says 'TRANSDUCER', it screws in and then snaps into place.

- Yellow Trimble cord connected from GPS into box where it says 'G.P.S. Ant'. Make sure the GPS is connected to the top of the Sonar bar. It just screws in on top of the bar.

- Plug in the laptop with its power cord into the socket.
Figure 20: Ethernet Cord, Socket Card, Power Cord

Figure 21: Trimble GPS System with Cable
Figure 22: Input Box with Connections
Figure 23: Comports 4, 5, and 3
Images of sonar attached to boat shown below in Figures 24 – 26.

Figure 24: Sonar Attached to Boat Before Turned into Water
Figure 25: Trimble GPS System and Cable Attached to Sonar
Figure 26: Top View of Sonar in Water
B 1.3 To Begin Survey

- Turn on the power switch in the box
- In the Hypack window go to 'Survey' and click on 'Survey' (Make sure that the sonar is in the water before you do this!!), otherwise you will hear a beeping alarm sound. The image should show up and you should see where the boat is located.
- Next, go to the Desktop and open eChart.exe. Go to ‘file’ and ‘connect to sounder’, or just press the connect button. You should see two channels with markings being made in them. The right is low frequency, and the left is high frequency.
- When you are in position to begin logging points, go to the survey window and go to ‘logging’ and ‘start logging’, or press the logging button. When you are at the end of your line press stop logging.
- Also in the eChart window you want to press ‘record’ when you are logging over the lines, and then press ‘stop recording’ when you are finished with your line.
- Then turn the boat around and repeat logging and recording along the same line going back.

B 1.4 Processing

Editing

- When the data is saved it creates a .LOG file which contains .RAW data files. These .RAW data files are made up of all the logging points taken for each line separately.
- In order to export any of the data, you must have .EDT files. To get these files you have to edit your .RAW data files.
- Open HYPACK and open the project you are working with.
- Make sure that there are .RAW files there under the ‘Raw Data’ folder.
• In the top menu bar go to ‘Processing’ then ‘Single Beam Editor’.

• Go to ‘File’ then ‘Open’ and select the .LOG file that is in your project folder, it will automatically be there for you to select.

• Once you select the .LOG file the ‘Catalog’ box will appear. This allows you to pick one line at a time or you can just select them all at one time. For my research I would just press ‘select all’ so I could edit every line at the same time. If you want a more detailed picture to see in the editor you can do one at a time.

• Once you select the line or lines you want to work with, the ‘Corrections’ window will appear. If you have tide or sound velocity corrections you can open the files from here, but we are not working with any corrections for this research. You can choose which depth to use, for my project I just used depth 1.

• Then the ‘Read Parameters’ window appears and nothing should be changed. In the ‘presort tab’, ‘yes, all data’ should be selected and the Basis should be in ‘Number of Samples’ with an Increment of ‘1’. This can be changed if you want different increments of data; however, these are the settings I used. Also, the Selection should be on ‘Average Depth’.

• It will then read in the data you selected.

• You can read in the Manuel about processing options for this step if there is anything significant you want to do. I did not change anything else for my research.

• Save the data and now you have .EDT files to work with.

Exporting

• Go to ‘Final Products’ in the menu bar and go to ‘Export’.
• In the ‘Export’ window, right click on the .LOG file under the ‘Edited Data Files’ folder and press on ‘Enabled’. You can do this for all the .EDT files or just certain ones you want. I found it is easier to do one at a time.

• Under the Output File section under Format, make sure that the ‘Soundings (XYZ)’ is selected. Under Name, click on the folder on the right. In the ‘Save As’ window write the name of the XYZ file you want to create and press ‘Save’.

• Then press ‘Convert’ and this makes a XYZ file.

• If you convert all the lines at the same time, when you open the .xyz file there is just one long list of values. If you do this, in order to tell where each line starts and stops, there will be an x,y, and z heading at the beginning of each line. You have to make sure you know what order the lines are in, this is just selecting them in the HYPACK window and knowing what planned line they were on.

• Otherwise just export one line at a time and you will not run into any problems.

Excel Worksheets

• First open a new Excel file where you will open the .xyz files.

• Then cut and paste in the x, y and z values in to the Excel file named ‘DClines’ under the Line1Raw tab. Another column is created called Distance. Under the 1 tab there will be Distance, Z smooth, and Elevation columns. The Distance is the same but the Z smooth is the average of ten of the raw z values to get a smoother line.

• Next you will copy out the Distance and Elevation columns and paste them into the Excel file named ‘INTERPOLATION_DC (version 1)’ into the Raw-X and Raw-Y columns. Fill out a start and end x value (this will be the area in which will be
interpolated over) and a delta (which is the increment value). I used .25. Then press Fill NEW-X button, and then the INTERPOLATE button.

- Once this is done, open the Excel file named ‘DCInterpolated’ and paste in the new X and Y values. For multiple surveys over the same line for the same day, the average will be taken. This is the line in which will be graphed to represent that survey line.

**B 1.5 Locations**

The table below is a list of the locations and landmarks used for this Deer Creek study. Some of the landmarks are ones in which may be hard to find, so I suggest having the planned lines turned on while trying to find these locations and pick new landmarks that will be easier for you to follow which are along the planned lines for future research.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Line Number</th>
<th>Starting Point Location</th>
<th>Land Mark Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>In tree area where there is a little clear area for boat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Left edge of barn on the opposite side of the water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>In front of the house just West of the launch ramp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Towards rocky point</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Straight down from the telephone pole</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Left side of dirt area by the waters edge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Brown spot near red dirt streak near water’s edge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Little bush in the water by white house</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Big red spot of dirt</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>